1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9		
10	YANG YANG,	
11	Plaintiff(s),	Case No. 2:15-cv-01246-LDG-NJK
12	VS.	ORDER
13	BUFFALO RANCH HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION, et al.,) (Docket No. 55)
14	Defendant(s).) (Docket 140. 33)
15	——————————————————————————————————————	
16	Pending before the Court is an emergency motion to stay discovery filed by Defendant Nattinan	
17	Wandeevong. Docket No. 55. As an initial matter, the motion relies on the incorrect standards for	
18	seeking a stay of discovery pending resolution of dispositive motions. <i>Compare id.</i> at 9-10 with Kor	
19	Media Group, LLC v. Green, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013). Because the motion does not	
20	address the applicable standards, it is DENIED without prejudice.	
21	The motion also makes note of the fact that Defendant Wandeevong only recently appeared in	
22	this case. See Docket Nos. 49-41 (March 7, 2016). The parties shall all promptly confer and shall file,	
23		
24		
25	¹ "Courts in this District have formulated three requirements in determining whether to stay discovery pending resolution of a potentially dispositive motion; motions to stay discovery may be granted when: (1) the pending motion is potentially dispositive; (2) the potentially dispositive motion can be decided without additional discovery; and (3) the Court has taken a 'preliminary peek' at the merits of the potentially dispositive motion and is convinced that the plaintiff will be unable to state a claim for relief." <i>Kor Media</i> 294 F.R.D. at 581.	
26		
27		
28		

no later than March 21, 2016, a joint statement providing their views on whether the discovery deadlines should be altered in light of Defendant Wandeevong's recent appearance.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 17, 2016

NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge